

CGIAR Member Feedback on AGM07



AGM07 Stakeholders Meeting Assessment

Avg. = 5.25

SD = 1.44

N = 40.00

1. The Stakeholders Meeting (Science Forum) was a productive use of my time.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly



AGM07 Stakeholders Meeting Assessment


Avg. = 1.82

SD = 1.12

N = 34.00

2. The Stakeholder meeting session I attended and enjoyed the most (i.e., the most productive use of my time) was:

1. Opening Session Presentations (e.g. World Food Situation, World Development Report, etc.)
2. Parallel Sessions
3. Lunchtime Presentations
4. Sir John Crawford Memorial Lecture
5. CGIAR Science Awards





AGM07 Stakeholders Meeting Assessment


Avg. = 2.74

SD = 1.33

N = 38.00

3. The Stakeholder meeting session I attended and enjoyed the second most (i.e., the most productive use of my time) was:

1. Opening Session Presentations (e.g. World Food Situation, World Development Report, etc.)
2. Parallel Sessions
3. Lunchtime Presentations
4. Sir John Crawford Memorial Lecture
5. CGIAR Science Awards



AGM07 Stakeholders Meeting Assessment


Avg. = 2.05

SD = 0.46

N = 38.00

4. The Stakeholders Meeting should allocate more, the same, or less time to plenary sessions.

3. More time
2. Same time
1. Less time





AGM07 Stakeholders Meeting Assessment

Avg. = 2.26

SD = 0.71


N = 38.00

5. The Stakeholders Meeting should allocate more, the same, or less time to interactions at exhibits.

3. More time

2. Same time

1. Less time



AGM07 Stakeholders Meeting Assessment

Avg. = 2.08

SD = 0.67


N = 37.00

6. The Stakeholders Meeting should allocate more, the same, or less time to break-out sessions.

3. More time

2. Same time

1. Less time





Change Management Forum

Avg. = 5.67

SD = 1.16

N = 39.00

1. The Change Management Forum was a productive use of my time.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly



Change Management Forum

Avg. = 4.20

SD = 1.10

N = 40.00

2. Time allocated to the Change Management Forum was sufficient.

7. Too much

6.


5.

4. Just about right

3.

2.

1. Not enough





Change Management Forum

Avg. = 4.89

SD = 1.43

N = 38.00

3. CGIAR adequately discussed all substantive issues.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly



Change Management Forum

Avg. = 5.49

SD = 1.11

N = 39.00

4. The objectives of the Forum were met.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly





2. CGIAR Change Management Process

Avg. = 4.21

SD = 1.06

N = 38.00

1. Time allocated to this agenda item was sufficient.

7. Too much

6.


5.

4. Just about right

3.

2.

1. Not enough



2. CGIAR Change Management Process

Avg. = 4.95

SD = 1.18

N = 40.00

2. CGIAR adequately discussed all substantive issues regarding this agenda item.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly





2. CGIAR Change Management Process

Avg. = 5.78

SD = 1.39

N = 40.00

3. Outcome as summarized accurately reflects discussion.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly



3. CGIAR Status Report

Avg. = 4.20

SD = 0.79

N = 35.00

1. Time allocated to this agenda item was sufficient.

7. Too much

6.


5.

4. Just about right

3.

2.

1. Not enough





3. CGIAR Status Report

Avg. = 5.29

SD = 1.32

N = 35.00

2. CGIAR adequately discussed all substantive issues regarding this agenda item.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly



3. CGIAR Status Report

Avg. = 6.00

SD = 0.89

N = 35.00

3. Outcome as summarized accurately reflects discussion.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly






4. Medium-Term Plans and 2008 Financing Plan

Avg. = 4.27
SD = 0.99
N = 33.00

1. Time allocated to this agenda item was sufficient.

- 7. Too much
- 6.
- 5.
- 4. Just about right
- 3.
- 2.
- 1. Not enough




4. Medium-Term Plans and 2008 Financing Plan

Avg. = 4.23
SD = 1.46
N = 35.00

2. CGIAR adequately discussed all substantive issues regarding this agenda item.

- 7. Agree Strongly
- 6.
- 5.
- 4. Neither Agree nor Disagree
- 3.
- 2.
- 1. Disagree Strongly





4. Medium-Term Plans and 2008 Financing Plan

Avg. = 5.62
SD = 1.16
N = 34.00

3. Outcome as summarized accurately reflects discussion.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly



5. CGIAR External Review

Avg. = 3.74
SD = 0.91
N = 35.00

1. Time allocated to this agenda item was sufficient.

7. Too much

6.


5.

4. Just about right

3.

2.

1. Not enough





5. CGIAR External Review

Avg. = 4.58

SD = 1.62

N = 36.00

2. CGIAR adequately discussed all substantive issues regarding this agenda item.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly



5. CGIAR External Review

Avg. = 5.39

SD = 1.42

N = 38.00

3. Outcome as summarized accurately reflects discussion.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly





6.a. IWMI EPMR

Avg. = 4.54

SD = 0.95

N = 37.00

1. Time allocated to this agenda item was sufficient.

7. Too much

6.


5.

4. Just about right

3.

2.

1. Not enough



6.a. IWMI EPMR

Avg. = 5.58

SD = 1.07

N = 38.00

2. CGIAR adequately discussed all substantive issues regarding this agenda item.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly





6.a. IWMI EPMR

Avg. = 5.89

SD = 1.02

N = 36.00

3. Outcome as summarized accurately reflects discussion.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly



6.b. WARDA EPMR

Avg. = 4.61

SD = 1.04

N = 33.00

1. Time allocated to this agenda item was sufficient.

7. Too much

6.


5.

4. Just about right

3.

2.

1. Not enough





6.b. WARDA EPMR

Avg. = 5.57

SD = 1.03

N = 37.00

2. CGIAR adequately discussed all substantive issues regarding this agenda item.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly



6.b. WARDA EPMR

Avg. = 6.23

SD = 0.93

N = 35.00

3. Outcome as summarized accurately reflects discussion.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly





6.c. ILRI EPMR

Avg. = 4.50

SD = 1.17

N = 36.00

1. Time allocated to this agenda item was sufficient.

7. Too much

6.


5.

4. Just about right

3.

2.

1. Not enough



6.c. ILRI EPMR

Avg. = 6.06

SD = 0.88

N = 36.00

2. CGIAR adequately discussed all substantive issues regarding this agenda item.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly





6.c. ILRI EPMR

Avg. = 6.17

SD = 0.91

N = 35.00

3. Outcome as summarized accurately reflects discussion.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly



7. Report from Science Council

Avg. = 4.61

SD = 1.31

N = 38.00

1. Time allocated to this agenda item was sufficient.

7. Too much

6.


5.

4. Just about right

3.

2.

1. Not enough





7. Report from Science Council

Avg. = 5.03

SD = 1.44

N = 39.00

2. CGIAR adequately discussed all substantive issues regarding this agenda item.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly



7. Report from Science Council

Avg. = 5.97

SD = 1.12

N = 36.00

3. Outcome as summarized accurately reflects discussion.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly





8. Perspectives from the Alliance of CGIAR Centers

Avg. = 4.49

SD = 1.01

N = 39.00

1. Time allocated to this agenda item was sufficient.

7. Too much

6.


5.

4. Just about right

3.

2.

1. Not enough



8. Perspectives from the Alliance of CGIAR Centers

Avg. = 4.68

SD = 1.34

N = 38.00

2. CGIAR adequately discussed all substantive issues regarding this agenda item.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly





8. Perspectives from the Alliance of CGIAR Centers

Avg. = 5.61
SD = 1.23
N = 36.00

3. Outcome as summarized accurately reflects discussion.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly



9. CGIAR Strategic Initiative on Climate Change

Avg. = 4.43
SD = 1.66
N = 40.00

1. Time allocated to this agenda item was sufficient.

7. Too much

6.


5.

4. Just about right

3.

2.

1. Not enough





9. CGIAR Strategic Initiative on Climate Change

Avg. = 4.95
SD = 1.79
N = 40.00

2. CGIAR adequately discussed all substantive issues regarding this agenda item.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly



9. CGIAR Strategic Initiative on Climate Change

Avg. = 5.71
SD = 1.29
N = 41.00

3. Outcome as summarized accurately reflects discussion.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly






10. SSA Challenge Program:
Progress Report

Avg. = 3.85
SD = 0.74
N = 39.00

1. Time allocated to this agenda item was sufficient.

- 7. Too much
- 6.
- 5.
- 4. Just about right
- 3.
- 2.
- 1. Not enough




10. SSA Challenge Program:
Progress Report

Avg. = 4.89
SD = 1.56
N = 36.00

2. CGIAR adequately discussed all substantive issues regarding this agenda item.

- 7. Agree Strongly
- 6.
- 5.
- 4. Neither Agree nor Disagree
- 3.
- 2.
- 1. Disagree Strongly





10. SSA Challenge Program: Progress Report

Avg. = 5.86

SD = 1.23

N = 36.00

3. Outcome as summarized accurately reflects discussion.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly



11.a. IITA EPMR

Avg. = 3.73

SD = 1.35

N = 33.00

1. Time allocated to this agenda item was sufficient.

7. Too much

6.


5.

4. Just about right

3.

2.

1. Not enough





11.a. IITA EPMR

Avg. = 3.91

SD = 1.62

N = 33.00

2. CGIAR adequately discussed all substantive issues regarding this agenda item.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly



11.a. IITA EPMR

Avg. = 5.35

SD = 1.11

N = 34.00

3. Outcome as summarized accurately reflects discussion.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly





11.b. CIAT EPMR

Avg. = 4.24

SD = 1.11

N = 38.00

1. Time allocated to this agenda item was sufficient.

7. Too much

6.


5.

4. Just about right

3.

2.

1. Not enough



11.b. CIAT EPMR

Avg. = 5.14

SD = 1.66

N = 37.00

2. CGIAR adequately discussed all substantive issues regarding this agenda item.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly





11.b. CIAT EPMR

Avg. = 5.81

SD = 1.52

N = 36.00

3. Outcome as summarized accurately reflects discussion.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly



11.c. CIP EPMR

Avg. = 4.00

SD = 0.76

N = 35.00

1. Time allocated to this agenda item was sufficient.

7. Too much

6.


5.

4. Just about right

3.

2.

1. Not enough





11.c. CIP EPMR

Avg. = 5.48

SD = 0.98

N = 31.00

2. CGIAR adequately discussed all substantive issues regarding this agenda item.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly



11.c. CIP EPMR

Avg. = 5.88

SD = 0.96

N = 32.00

3. Outcome as summarized accurately reflects discussion.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly





11.d. Challenge Program External Reviews

Avg. = 4.30

SD = 0.81

N = 40.00

1. Time allocated to this agenda item was sufficient.

7. Too much

6.


5.

4. Just about right

3.

2.

1. Not enough



11.d. Challenge Program External Reviews

Avg. = 5.08

SD = 1.17

N = 40.00

2. CGIAR adequately discussed all substantive issues regarding this agenda item.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly





11.d. Challenge Program External Reviews

Avg. = 6.08
SD = 0.91
N = 40.00

3. Outcome as summarized accurately reflects discussion.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly



11.e. Options to Streamline EPMR Process

Avg. = 4.62
SD = 1.26
N = 37.00

1. Time allocated to this agenda item was sufficient.

7. Too much

6.


5.

4. Just about right

3.

2.

1. Not enough






11.e. Options to Streamline EPMR Process

Avg. = 5.64
SD = 1.31
N = 39.00

2. CGIAR adequately discussed all substantive issues regarding this agenda item.

- 7. Agree Strongly
- 6.
- 5.
- 4. Neither Agree nor Disagree
- 3.
- 2.
- 1. Disagree Strongly




11.e. Options to Streamline EPMR Process

Avg. = 5.87
SD = 1.54
N = 38.00

3. Outcome as summarized accurately reflects discussion.

- 7. Agree Strongly
- 6.
- 5.
- 4. Neither Agree nor Disagree
- 3.
- 2.
- 1. Disagree Strongly





Business Between AGMs

Avg. = 5.61

SD = 1.14

N = 38.00

1. Decision making by the CGIAR between AGMs was facilitated by ExCo.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly



Business Between AGMs

Avg. = 5.57

SD = 1.03

N = 37.00

2. ExCo's conduct of business is transparent to CGIAR Members.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly





Business Between AGMs

Avg. = 5.22

SD = 1.14

N = 37.00

3. ExCo provided adequate oversight of the implementation of CGIAR decisions.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly



Business Between AGMs (non-ExCo Members only)

Avg. = 5.32

SD = 1.34

N = 19.00

1. Decision making by the CGIAR between AGMs was facilitated by ExCo.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly





Business Between AGMs (non-ExCo Members only)

Avg. = 4.78

SD = 1.25

N = 23.00

2. ExCo's conduct of business is transparent to CGIAR Members.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly



Business Between AGMs (non-ExCo Members only)

Avg. = 4.33

SD = 1.17

N = 21.00

3. ExCo provided adequate oversight of the implementation of CGIAR decisions.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly





AGM07 Business Meeting Assessment

Avg. = 5.86

SD = 0.72

N = 35.00

1. Decision making by the CGIAR at AGM07 was facilitated by ExCo's guidance and recommendations.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly



AGM07 Business Meeting Assessment

Avg. = 5.81

SD = 0.95

N = 37.00

2. The AGM07 documentation provided (in electronic and printed formats) enabled me to discuss and decide CGIAR matters adequately.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly





AGM07 Business Meeting Assessment

Avg. = 5.55

SD = 1.09

N = 38.00

3. The decision making process during the Business Meeting was effective.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly



AGM07 Business Meeting Assessment

Avg. = 5.55

SD = 0.82

N = 38.00

4. The Business Meeting was a productive use of my time.

7. Agree Strongly

6.

5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly

