

ExCo Member Feedback on ExCo 13



2.a. Report from Director


Avg. = 4.23

SD = 0.97

N = 13.00

1. Time allocated to this agenda item was sufficient.

- 7. Too much
- 6.
- 5.
- 4. Just about right
- 3.
- 2.
- 1. Not enough



2.a. Report from Director


Avg. = 5.77

SD = 0.80

N = 13.00

2. ExCo adequately discussed all substantive issues regarding this agenda item.

- 7. Agree Strongly
- 6.
- 5.
- 4. Neither Agree nor Disagree
- 3.
- 2.
- 1. Disagree Strongly





2.a. Report from Director

Avg. = 5.82

SD = 1.27

N = 11.00

3. Outcome as summarized accurately reflects discussion.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly



3.a. Medium-Term Plan and 2003 Financing Plan

Avg. = 4.23

SD = 0.42

N = 13.00

1. Time allocated to this agenda item was sufficient.

7. Too much

6.


5.

4. Just about right

3.

2.

1. Not enough





3.a. Medium-Term Plan and 2003 Financing Plan

Avg. = 4.69

SD = 0.99

N = 13.00

2. ExCo adequately discussed all substantive issues regarding this agenda item.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly



3.a. Medium-Term Plan and 2003 Financing Plan

Avg. = 5.77

SD = 0.80

N = 13.00

3. Outcome as summarized accurately reflects discussion.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly





3.b. Report from SC


Avg. = 4.27

SD = 0.62

N = 11.00

1. Time allocated to this agenda item was sufficient.

- 7. Too much
- 6.
- 5.
- 4. Just about right
- 3.
- 2.
- 1. Not enough



3.b. Report from SC


Avg. = 5.92

SD = 0.62

N = 13.00

2. ExCo adequately discussed all substantive issues regarding this agenda item.

- 7. Agree Strongly
- 6.
- 5.
- 4. Neither Agree nor Disagree
- 3.
- 2.
- 1. Disagree Strongly





3.b. Report from SC


Avg. = 6.46

SD = 0.63

N = 13.00

3. Outcome as summarized accurately reflects discussion.

- 7. Agree Strongly
- 6.
- 5.
- 4. Neither Agree nor Disagree
- 3.
- 2.
- 1. Disagree Strongly



4.a. Challenge Program External Reviews


Avg. = 3.54

SD = 1.01

N = 13.00

1. Time allocated to this agenda item was sufficient.

- 7. Too much
- 6.
- 5.
- 4. Just about right
- 3.
- 2.
- 1. Not enough





4.a. Challenge Program External Reviews


Avg. = 3.43

SD = 1.05

N = 14.00

2. ExCo adequately discussed all substantive issues regarding this agenda item.

- 7. Agree Strongly
- 6.
- 5.
- 4. Neither Agree nor Disagree
- 3.
- 2.
- 1. Disagree Strongly



4.a. Challenge Program External Reviews


Avg. = 5.71

SD = 0.96

N = 14.00

3. Outcome as summarized accurately reflects discussion.

- 7. Agree Strongly
- 6.
- 5.
- 4. Neither Agree nor Disagree
- 3.
- 2.
- 1. Disagree Strongly





4.b. Challenge Programs: Lessons Learned


Avg. = 4.17

SD = 0.80

N = 12.00

1. Time allocated to this agenda item was sufficient.

- 7. Too much
- 6.
- 5.
- 4. Just about right
- 3.
- 2.
- 1. Not enough



4.b. Challenge Programs: Lessons Learned


Avg. = 3.69

SD = 1.43

N = 13.00

2. ExCo adequately discussed all substantive issues regarding this agenda item.

- 7. Agree Strongly
- 6.
- 5.
- 4. Neither Agree nor Disagree
- 3.
- 2.
- 1. Disagree Strongly





4.b. Challenge Programs: Lessons Learned


Avg. = 5.77

SD = 0.89

N = 13.00

3. Outcome as summarized
accurately reflects discussion.

- 7. Agree Strongly
- 6.
- 5.
- 4. Neither Agree nor Disagree
- 3.
- 2.
- 1. Disagree Strongly



4.c. CP (Cycle 2) Selection of Pre-Proposals


Avg. = 4.14

SD = 1.46

N = 14.00

1. Time allocated to this agenda
item was sufficient.

- 7. Too much
- 6.
- 5.
- 4. Just about right
- 3.
- 2.
- 1. Not enough





4.c. CP (Cycle 2) Selection of Pre-Proposals


Avg. = 4.64

SD = 1.04

N = 14.00

2. ExCo adequately discussed all substantive issues regarding this agenda item.

- 7. Agree Strongly
- 6.
- 5.
- 4. Neither Agree nor Disagree
- 3.
- 2.
- 1. Disagree Strongly



4.c. CP (Cycle 2) Selection of Pre-Proposals


Avg. = 5.20

SD = 1.72

N = 15.00

3. Outcome as summarized accurately reflects discussion.

- 7. Agree Strongly
- 6.
- 5.
- 4. Neither Agree nor Disagree
- 3.
- 2.
- 1. Disagree Strongly





5.a. CIP EPMR


Avg. = 4.08

SD = 0.62

N = 13.00

1. Time allocated to this agenda item was sufficient.

- 7. Too much
- 6.
- 5.
- 4. Just about right
- 3.
- 2.
- 1. Not enough



5.a. CIP EPMR


Avg. = 5.81

SD = 1.42

N = 16.00

2. ExCo adequately discussed all substantive issues regarding this agenda item.

- 7. Agree Strongly
- 6.
- 5.
- 4. Neither Agree nor Disagree
- 3.
- 2.
- 1. Disagree Strongly





5.a. CIP EPMR


Avg. = 6.31

SD = 0.98

N = 16.00

3. Outcome as summarized accurately reflects discussion.

- 7. Agree Strongly
- 6.
- 5.
- 4. Neither Agree nor Disagree
- 3.
- 2.
- 1. Disagree Strongly



5.b. IITA EPMR


Avg. = 4.80

SD = 1.08

N = 10.00

1. Time allocated to this agenda item was sufficient.

- 7. Too much
- 6.
- 5.
- 4. Just about right
- 3.
- 2.
- 1. Not enough





5.b. IITA EPMR


Avg. = 4.86

SD = 1.60

N = 14.00

2. ExCo adequately discussed all substantive issues regarding this agenda item.

- 7. Agree Strongly
- 6.
- 5.
- 4. Neither Agree nor Disagree
- 3.
- 2.
- 1. Disagree Strongly



5.b. IITA EPMR


Avg. = 6.00

SD = 1.03

N = 15.00

3. Outcome as summarized accurately reflects discussion.

- 7. Agree Strongly
- 6.
- 5.
- 4. Neither Agree nor Disagree
- 3.
- 2.
- 1. Disagree Strongly





6. Report from the Alliance of CGIAR Centers


Avg. = 4.75

SD = 1.09

N = 12.00

1. Time allocated to this agenda item was sufficient.

- 7. Too much
- 6.
- 5.
- 4. Just about right
- 3.
- 2.
- 1. Not enough



6. Report from the Alliance of CGIAR Centers


Avg. = 5.18

SD = 1.27

N = 11.00

2. ExCo adequately discussed all substantive issues regarding this agenda item.

- 7. Agree Strongly
- 6.
- 5.
- 4. Neither Agree nor Disagree
- 3.
- 2.
- 1. Disagree Strongly





6. Report from the Alliance of CGIAR Centers

Avg. = 6.25

SD = 0.72

N = 12.00

3. Outcome as summarized accurately reflects discussion.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly



7.a. Final Report from the ExCo ad hoc Committee on Funding System Priorities

Avg. = 3.93

SD = 1.62

N = 14.00

1. Time allocated to this agenda item was sufficient.

7. Too much

6.


5.

4. Just about right

3.

2.

1. Not enough





7.a. Final Report from the ExCo ad hoc Committee on Funding System Priorities


Avg. = 5.53

SD = 1.26

N = 15.00

2. ExCo adequately discussed all substantive issues regarding this agenda item.

- 7. Agree Strongly
- 6.
- 5.
- 4. Neither Agree nor Disagree
- 3.
- 2.
- 1. Disagree Strongly



7.a. Final Report from the ExCo ad hoc Committee on Funding System Priorities


Avg. = 6.14

SD = 1.25

N = 14.00

3. Outcome as summarized accurately reflects discussion.

- 7. Agree Strongly
- 6.
- 5.
- 4. Neither Agree nor Disagree
- 3.
- 2.
- 1. Disagree Strongly





7.b. Scoping Team Proposal


Avg. = 4.46

SD = 0.93

N = 13.00

1. Time allocated to this agenda item was sufficient.

- 7. Too much
- 6.
- 5.
- 4. Just about right
- 3.
- 2.
- 1. Not enough



7.b. Scoping Team Proposal


Avg. = 5.73

SD = 1.18

N = 15.00

2. ExCo adequately discussed all substantive issues regarding this agenda item.

- 7. Agree Strongly
- 6.
- 5.
- 4. Neither Agree nor Disagree
- 3.
- 2.
- 1. Disagree Strongly





7.b. Scoping Team Proposal

Avg. = 6.36

SD = 0.97

N = 14.00

3. Outcome as summarized accurately reflects discussion.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly



8.a. WARDA EPMR

Avg. = 4.21

SD = 0.41

N = 14.00

1. Time allocated to this agenda item was sufficient.

7. Too much

6.


5.

4. Just about right

3.

2.

1. Not enough





8.a. WARDA EPMR

Avg. = 5.36

SD = 0.97

N = 14.00

2. ExCo adequately discussed all substantive issues regarding this agenda item.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly



8.a. WARDA EPMR

Avg. = 6.00

SD = 1.51

N = 14.00

3. Outcome as summarized accurately reflects discussion.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly





8.b. CIAT EPMR


Avg. = 4.42

SD = 0.64

N = 12.00

1. Time allocated to this agenda item was sufficient.

- 7. Too much
- 6.
- 5.
- 4. Just about right
- 3.
- 2.
- 1. Not enough



8.b. CIAT EPMR


Avg. = 4.67

SD = 1.35

N = 15.00

2. ExCo adequately discussed all substantive issues regarding this agenda item.

- 7. Agree Strongly
- 6.
- 5.
- 4. Neither Agree nor Disagree
- 3.
- 2.
- 1. Disagree Strongly





8.b. CIAT EPMR

Avg. = 6.13

SD = 0.81

N = 15.00

3. Outcome as summarized accurately reflects discussion.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly



8.c. EPMR Meta-analysis

Avg. = 4.33

SD = 0.94

N = 12.00

1. Time allocated to this agenda item was sufficient.

7. Too much

6.


5.

4. Just about right

3.

2.

1. Not enough





8.c. EPMR Meta-analysis

Avg. = 5.09

SD = 1.31

N = 11.00

2. ExCo adequately discussed all substantive issues regarding this agenda item.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly



8.c. EPMR Meta-analysis

Avg. = 5.92

SD = 1.55

N = 12.00

3. Outcome as summarized accurately reflects discussion.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly





9. Genetic Resources Policy Committee


Avg. = 3.00

SD = 1.81

N = 11.00

1. Time allocated to this agenda item was sufficient.

- 7. Too much
- 6.
- 5.
- 4. Just about right
- 3.
- 2.
- 1. Not enough



9. Genetic Resources Policy Committee


Avg. = 1.90

SD = 0.94

N = 10.00

2. ExCo adequately discussed all substantive issues regarding this agenda item.

- 7. Agree Strongly
- 6.
- 5.
- 4. Neither Agree nor Disagree
- 3.
- 2.
- 1. Disagree Strongly





9. Genetic Resources Policy Committee

Avg. = 6.27

SD = 0.62

N = 11.00

3. Outcome as summarized accurately reflects discussion.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly



ExCo 13 Feedback

Avg. = 6.00

SD = 0.77

N = 10.00

1. Overall, the ExCo meeting was a productive use of my time.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly





ExCo 13 Feedback

Avg. = 5.90

SD = 0.83

N = 10.00

2. Time available for the meeting allowed for an appropriate level of discussion and debate.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly



ExCo 13 Feedback

Avg. = 5.80

SD = 0.87

N = 10.00

3. The meeting agenda included the most important issues facing the CGIAR.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly





ExCo 13 Feedback

Avg. = 5.40

SD = 0.66

N = 10.00

4. ExCo members are knowledgeable about the CGIAR/ExCo business agenda.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly



ExCo 13 Feedback

Avg. = 5.40

SD = 1.20

N = 10.00

5. The documentation provided enabled me to discuss and decide matters adequately.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly





ExCo 13 Feedback

Avg. = 4.30

SD = 1.73

N = 10.00

6. I read all of the relevant documentation.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly



ExCo 13 Feedback

Avg. = 5.50

SD = 1.50

N = 10.00

7. I have actively participated in ExCo 13 discussions.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly





ExCo 13 Feedback

Avg. = 6.67

SD = 0.47

N = 9.00

8. The Chair encouraged full and open discussion and invited questions, including eliciting divergent views, and accurately summarized outcomes.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly



ExCo 13 Feedback

Avg. = 6.20

SD = 0.60

N = 10.00

9. Overall, the decision making process at the ExCo meeting was effective.

7. Agree Strongly

6.


5.

4. Neither Agree nor Disagree

3.

2.

1. Disagree Strongly





ExCo 13 Feedback


Avg. = 5.50

SD = 1.02

N = 10.00

10. CGIAR/ExCo recommendations and decisions are adequately followed-up by ExCo.

- 7. Agree Strongly
- 6.
- 5.
- 4. Neither Agree nor Disagree
- 3.
- 2.
- 1. Disagree Strongly



ExCo 13 Feedback

Avg. = 5.90

SD = 1.04

N = 10.00

11. I find the use of the electronic assessment methodology during the meeting useful.

- 7. Agree Strongly
- 6.
- 5.
- 4. Neither Agree nor Disagree
- 3.
- 2.
- 1. Disagree Strongly

